

UNITED STORES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

09/061,833

04/16/98

BOSSEMEYER

R

A00394 (AMT-9

000757

WM01/0928

BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE

P.O. BOX 10395 CHICAGO IL 60610 EXAMINER

ESCALANTE, O

ART UNIT PAPEI

2645

PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED:

09/28/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



UNITE' ATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Pater. J. Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK

No of the last		IN, D.C. 20231
STATES CF		MT=
FIRST MAI	AED ADDITIONAL	

		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORN	IEY DOCKET NO.
		L.	. [EXA	MINER _
	g ^{oro} "			•	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				•	21
		INTERVI	EW SUMMARY	DATE MAILED:	
- All p	participants (applicant, applican	t's representative, PTO personnel;):		
(1)_	Pale Hall	i vilj	(3) Fair 1	Tsanci	
(2)_		J	(4)		
Date	e of Interview $\frac{9/24}{}$	101			
	t	o Conference Personal (copy	is given to Canolicant C.	applicant's represent	rative)
		nducted: Yes XNo If yes, b			
	on one of our or our or our or our	iducico. El les egito il yes, b	mer description		
	eement 🖄 was reached. 🗋 w	una nat rasahad	· -		
	·	22			
Clair	m(s) discussed:				
lden	ntification of prior art discussed:				
				Á 0-	
Des	cription of the general nature of	what was agreed to if an agreem	ent was reached, or any other o	comments: Applica	nt stated that the "de
Desi	cription of the general nature of	what was agreed to if an agreem	by the Specificati	in on page	16. Aftering review
Des Des Specif	cription of the general nature of 200 recited in U.	what was agreed to it an agreement of the Supportanted to Vaccite the	by the Specification s	in on page ent 6/28/01	16. Aftering review because the deriver
Des Des Specif	cription of the general nature of 200 recited in U.	what was agreed to it an agreement of the Supportanted to Vaccite the	by the Specification s	in on page ent 6/28/01	16. Aftering review because the deriver
Des Des Specif	cription of the general nature of easi recited in the second of the seco	what was agreed to if an agreement of the supportant of the supportant of the second o	e Final Action s a specified function	in on page ent 6/28/01 in without t	16. Aftering review because the deriver he recital of Struc
Desir processing proce	cription of the general nature of ess recited in U scalin licarumer ag cess in claim 2 i oracti in suppo	what was agreed to if an agreement of the Vaccite the Vaccite the Vaccite as	e Final Action 5 a specified function 22 Should be com	in on page ent 6/28/01 in without to straid to con	lb. Aftering review because the deriver the recital of Structures the corresponding
Desir processing proce	cription of the general nature of us recited in the second of the second	what was agreed to if an agreement of the supportant of the vacate the second as a claim of claim	e Final Action 5 a specified function 22 Should be con	in on page ent 6/28/01 in without to struct to con-	lb. Aftering review because the deriver the recital of Structure the corresponding the specifical and the specifical and
Desired processing pro	cription of the general nature of the service of th	what was agreed to if an agreement of the supportant of the support of the amendments which wo copy of the amendments which wo	by the Specificate e Final Action 5 a specified function 22 Should be conversely or arterial or arterial and allowable wallable, which the examiner a under the claims allowable	in on page ent 6/28/01 ion without to struct to con discribed in a greed would render to is available, a sumr	lb. Aftering review because the deriver the recitat of Structures the specification and the claims above be exercised in any thereof musi be exercised.
Desir Proceeding proceeding proceeding proceeding proceeding (African author)	cription of the general nature of the second of the general nature of the second of th	what was agreed to if an agreement of the Vaccite the Vaccite the Vaccite the variety and claim and a copy of the amendments which we can the concept of the	e Final Action 5 a specified function a sp	in on page ent 6/28/01 in without to struct to con- discribed in a greed would render to is available, a sumr	Lecause the deriver the recitat of Structure the corresponding the specification and he claims abovable nary thereof must be equivalent.
Desired Processing Pro	cription of the general nature of the second of the general nature of the second of th	what was agreed to if an agreement of the Vaccite The	e Final Action 5 a specified function a sp	in on page ent 6/28/01 in without to struct to con discribed in a greed would render to is available, a sumr	Lecause the deriver he recital of Structure the corresponding the specification and he claims abovable nary thereof musi be equivalent of the last Office.
Desired Processing Pro	cription of the general nature of the second of the general nature of the second of th	what was agreed to if an agreement of the Vaccite The	e Final Action 5 a specified function a sp	ent 6/28/01 ent 6/28/01 ent 6/28/01 ent 6/28/01 struct to con discribed in a greed would render to greed wo	Leauge the deriver he recital of Structure the corresponding the deriver he coins about and he claims about the recital must be equivalently thereof must be equivalently to the last Office MENT OF THE
Desired Processing Pro	cription of the general nature of the second of the general nature of the second of th	what was agreed to if an agreement of the Vaccite The	by the Specificate e Final Action S a Specified function 2 Should be converted by activation or activated or activated and render the claims allowable the substance of the interview. transport A FORMAL WRITTEN RINTERVIEW. (See MPEP Sect FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE	in on page ent 6/28/01 ion without to struct to con discribed in a greed would render to is available, a summ EPLY TO THE LAST ion 713.04). If a repli TE TO FILE A STATE	Lecause the deriver he recital of Structure the corresponding the structure the corresponding the specification and he claims allowable required the response of the last Office MENT OF THE
Desired Processing Pro	cription of the general nature of the second of the general nature of the second of th	what was agreed to if an agreement of the support and support as and support and a copy of the amendments which wo can to provide a separate record of the checked to indicate to the concuper the SUBSTANCE OF THE IDENTIFY ONE MONTH.	by the Specificate e Final Action S a Specified function 2 Should be converted by activation or activated or activated and render the claims allowable the substance of the interview. transport A FORMAL WRITTEN RINTERVIEW. (See MPEP Sect FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE	in on page ent 6/28/01 ion without to struct to con discribed in a greed would render to is available, a summ EPLY TO THE LAST ion 713.04). If a repli TE TO FILE A STATE	Lecause the deriver he recital of Structure the corresponding the structure the corresponding the specification and he claims allowable required the response of the last Office MENT OF THE
Desired Processing Pro	cription of the general nature of the second of the general nature of the second of th	what was agreed to if an agreement of the support and support and support and a copy of the amendments which wo can to provide a separate record of the checked to indicate to the concuper the SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERIOR ONE MONTH.	by the Specificate e Final Action S a Specified function 2 Should be converted by activation or activated or activated and render the claims allowable the substance of the interview. transport A FORMAL WRITTEN RINTERVIEW. (See MPEP Sect FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE	in on page ent 6/28/01 ion without to struct to con discribed in a greed would render to is available, a summ EPLY TO THE LAST ion 713.04). If a repli TE TO FILE A STATE	Lecause the deriver he recital of Structure the corresponding the specification and he claims allowable nary thereof must be equivalently to the last Office MENT OF THE and is required at the section of the extremental section in the last office action in the section in the s

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, Section 713.04 Substance of Interview must Be Made of Record

Except as otherwise provided, a complete written statement as to the substance of <u>any</u> face-to-face or telephone <u>interview</u> with regard to an application <u>must be</u> <u>made of record in the application</u>, whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

§1.133 Interviews

(b) In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be <u>filed</u> by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111 and 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

§ 1.2. Business to be transacted in writing. All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete a two-sheet carbon interleaf Interview Summary Form for each interview held after January 1, 1978 where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks in neat handwritten form using a ball point pen. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, or resulting in an examiner's amendment that fully sets forth the agreement are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate paper number, placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" list on the file wrapper. In a personal interview, the duplicate copy of the Form is removed and given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephonic interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number of the application
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- -Type of interview (personal or telephonic)
- -Name of participant(s)) (applicant, attorney or agent, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the claims discussed
- -An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy
 of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). (Agreements as to allowability are tentative and do not restrict further action by the examiner to the
 contrary.)
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview
- Names of other Patent and Trademark Office personnel present.

The Form also contains a statement reminding the applicant of his responsibility to record the substance of the interview.

It is desireable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his obligation to record the substance of the interview in each case unless both applicant and examiner agree that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the Form or in an attachment to the Form, the examiner should check a box at the bottom of the Form informing the applicant that he need not supplement the Form by submitting a separate record of the substance of the interview.

It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form witl not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview:

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner. The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner,
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete or accurate, the examiner will give the applicant one month from the date of the notifying letter to complete the reply and thereby avoid abandonment of the application (37 CFR 1.135(c)).

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

Applicant's summary of what took place at the interview should be carefully checked to determine the accuracy of any argument or statement attributed to the examiner during the interview. If there is an inaccuracy and it bears directly on the question of patentability, it should be pointed out in the next Office letter. If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth his or her version of the statement attributed to him. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication "Interview record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.